organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

David A. Grossie,* David J. Malwitz and Daniel M. Ketcha

Department of Chemistry, Wright State University, Dayton, Ohio 45435, USA

Correspondence e-mail: david.grossie@wright.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.047 wR factor = 0.109 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

N,N-Dimethyl-1-phenylsulfonyl-1*H*-pyrrole-3-sulfonamide

The structure of the title compound, $C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_4S_2$, has been determined as part of an investigation into the structure and substitution patterns in benzenesulfonyl-protected pyrroles. The pyrrole N atom is in a near-planar bonding environment, with an angle between the S–N bond and the mean plane of the pyrrole ring of 1.72 (10)°.

Comment

In contrast with the expected 'normal' C-2 substitution of pyrroles, it has recently been shown that the sulfonation of pyrrole using a sulfur trioxide–pyridine complex produced 3sulfonated derivatives (Mizuno *et al.*, 2000). Whether such C-3 regioselectivity is due to direct sulfonation at that site or *via* rearrangement of an initially produced C-2 isomer remains to be elucidated. However, it has been recognized for some time that 2-pyrrolylsulfides, -sulfoxides and -sulfones and sulfinylpyrroles (DeSales *et al.*, 1982; Kakushima & Frenette, 1984; Carmona *et al.*, 1980) have a pronounced tendency to undergo acid-mediated inter- and/or intramolecular rearrangement to the C-3 isomer. Aware of such possibilities, we have initiated a study of the sulfonylation reactions of 1-(benzenesulfonyl)pyrroles.

Since rare-earth catalysts have shown promise in both Friedel–Crafts acylation and sulfonylation reactions of aromatics (Le Roux & Dubac, 2002), we chose to explore the use of such catalysts for this purpose. For the sulfamoylation of 1-(benzenenesulfonyl)pyrrole with dimethylsulfamoyl chloride, a set of multiparallel reactions was assembled utilizing bismuth(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate, indium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate and ytterbium(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate as catalysts, in nitromethane and dichloroethane (DCE) as solvents. While Yb(OTf)₃ and Sc(OTf)₃ were found to be ineffective, both In(OTf)₃ and Bi(OTf)₃ catalysts were capable of effecting the desired sulfamoylation, yielding the title compound, (I), the subject of this paper.



© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

As to whether this C-3 substitution is the result of a rearrangement process, this remains to be determined and we Received 19 January 2006 Accepted 2 February 2006

 $D_x = 1.464 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Cell parameters from 5103

reflections

 $\theta = 2.2 - 28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.39 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 173 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.029$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^\circ$

 $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$

 $k = -14 \rightarrow 14$

 $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$

Block, colourless

 $0.26 \times 0.22 \times 0.22$ mm

15246 measured reflections

3534 independent reflections 3357 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$



A view of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme and with displacement ellipsoids drawn the 50% probability level.

are mindful of the statement by Muchowski: 'The formation and rearrangement of 2-substituted pyrroles can take place at comparable rates. Therefore, mechanistic conclusions based on product distributions obtained in the electrophilic substitution of pyrroles should be made with circumspection in the absence of kinetic information on the ratios of the products. This is a precaution that in many examples reported in the literature has not been taken. It would, therefore, seem worthwhile to re-examine the electrophilic substitution of pyrroles in general with this point in mind.' (DeSales *et al.*, 1982).

The central five-membered ring in the molecule of (I) is completely planar (maximum deviation 0.006 Å), with the benzenesulfonyl and *N*,*N*-dimethylsulfonamide groups in an anti orientation across the central pyrrole ring. The angle between the S1–N1 bond and the pyrrole ring plane is $1.72 (10)^{\circ}$, which implies that the pyrrole N atom is sp^2 hybridized, consistent with an aromatic pyrrole ring. The sulfonamide N atom has bond angles consistent with sp^3 hybridized orbitals, as would be expected.

Bond distances and angles within the structure are within expected ranges of values (Cambridge Structural Database, Version 5.27; Allen, 2002).

Experimental

To a mixture of N-(benzenesulfonyl)pyrrole (4.8 mmol, 1.0 g) and bismuth(III) trifluoromethanesulfonate (0.69 mmol, 0.63 g) in 1,2dichloroethane (20 ml) was added dimethylsulfamoyl chloride (4.8 mmol, 0.69 g). The reaction was stirred in a closed reaction vessel at 328 K for 20 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic extracts were washed with 3 M HCl, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and brine, dried with Na₂SO₄, and concentrated at reduced pressure. The resulting crude oil was submitted to flash chromatography [hexanesdichloromethane, 70:30 (400 ml), linear ramp to 0:100 (300 ml)] to afford 0.31 g (49%) of pure (I) (m.p. 390-393 K). The isolated compound was recrystallized from methanol to yield crystals of a size and quality suitable for X-ray diffraction. Analysis, calculated for $C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_4S_2$: C 45.85, H 4.49, N 8.91, S 20.40%; found: C 45.79, H 4.50, N 8.71, S 20.70%. 13 C NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, δ , p.p.m.): 137.7, 134.9, 129.9, 127.3, 123.6, 123.3, 121.9, 112.0, 37.8. GC/MS EIMS *m/e*: 314 (*M*⁺), 77 (100).

Crystal	data
---------	------

```
C_{12}H_{14}N_2O_4S_2
M_r = 314.37
Monoclinic, P_{2_1}/n
a = 8.1322 (7) \text{ Å}
b = 11.1027 (9) \text{ Å}
c = 16.0757 (14) \text{ Å}
\beta = 100.680 (2)^{\circ}
V = 1426.3 (2) \text{ Å}^3
Z = 4
```

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD areadetector diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS* in *SAINT-Plus*; Bruker, 2003) $T_{\min} = 0.754, T_{\max} = 0.92$

Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Refinement on } F^2 & w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0424P)^2 \\ R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.047 & + 1.2568P] \\ wR(F^2) = 0.109 & where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ S = 1.20 & (\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001 \\ 3534 \ reflections & \Delta\rho_{max} = 0.52 \ e \ \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 237 \ parameters & \Delta\rho_{min} = -0.30 \ e \ \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ \mbox{All H-atom parameters refined} \end{array}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

S1-O1	1.4243 (15)	N1-C2	1.378 (2)
S1-O2	1.4255 (14)	N1-C5	1.391 (2)
S1-N1	1.6775 (16)	N2-C7	1.470 (3)
S1-C8	1.7524 (19)	N2-C6	1.473 (3)
S2-O4	1.4318 (15)	C3-C2	1.366 (3)
S2-O3	1.4335 (15)	C3-C4	1.428 (3)
S2-N2	1.6309 (17)	C5-C4	1.351 (3)
S2-C3	1.7409 (19)		
O1-S1-O2	121.63 (9)	C5-N1-S1	124.53 (14)
O1-S1-N1	105.21 (8)	C7-N2-C6	112.49 (18)
O2-S1-N1	105.07 (8)	C7-N2-S2	115.50 (14)
O1-S1-C8	109.24 (9)	C6-N2-S2	115.69 (14)
O2-S1-C8	109.51 (9)	C9-C8-C13	122.18 (18)
N1-S1-C8	104.77 (9)	C9-C8-S1	118.52 (15)
O4-S2-O3	119.76 (9)	C13-C8-S1	119.28 (15)
O4-S2-N2	107.45 (9)	C2-C3-C4	108.83 (17)
O3-S2-N2	106.59 (9)	C2-C3-S2	124.14 (15)
O4-S2-C3	107.21 (9)	C4-C3-S2	126.88 (15)
O3-S2-C3	107.34 (9)	C4-C5-N1	107.72 (17)
N2 - S2 - C3	108.03 (9)	C3-C2-N1	106.29 (16)
C2-N1-C5	109.96 (16)	C5-C4-C3	107.18 (17)
C2-N1-S1	125.42 (13)		

H atoms were located in a difference-electron density map and refined with isotropic displacement parameters.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEX* (McArdle, 1995); software used to prepare material for publication: *OSCAIL* (McArdle, 1995) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors acknowledge the diffractometer time granted by A. Hunter, Youngstown State University, and the assistance of M. Zeller, YSU. DMK also acknowledges the support of the Proctor & Gamble Fund.

References

Allen, F. H. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 380-388.

- Bruker (2002). SMART. Version 5.630. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.45. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carmona, O., Greenhouse, R., Landeros, R. & Muchowski, J. M. (1980). J. Org. Chem. 45, 5336–5339.
- DeSales, J., Greenhouse, R. & Muchowski, J. M. (1982). J. Org. Chem. 47, 3668–3672.
- Kakushima, M. & Frenette, R. (1984). J. Org. Chem. 49, 2025-2027.
- Le Roux, C. & Dubac, J. (2002). Synlett, pp. 181-200.
- McArdle, P. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 65.
- Mizuno, A., Kan, Y., Fukami, H., Kamei, T., Miyazaki, K., Matsuki, S. & Oyama, Y. (2000). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **41**, 6605–6609.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.